



# Treatment of mature landfill leachate by chemical precipitation and Fenton advanced oxidation process

Nemat Alah Jaafarzadeh Haghighi Fard<sup>1</sup>, Sahand Jorfi<sup>2\*</sup>, Mehdi Ahmadi<sup>3</sup>, Samaneh Mirali<sup>4</sup>, Raheleh Kujlu<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Professor, Environmental Technologies Research Center, Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Environmental Technologies Research Center, Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Associated Professor, Environmental Technologies Research Center, Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

<sup>4</sup>MSc Student, Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Health, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

## Abstract

**Background:** Mature landfill leachate is a complicated mixture which is resistant to biological treatment processes. The treatment of mature landfill leachate by struvite precipitation and Fenton oxidation was the main objective of the current research.

**Methods:** Struvite with the phosphate/ammonia/magnesium molar ratio of 1/1/1.05 was considered during all experiments. Five initial pHs of 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7, four different H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe mass ratios of 50, 100, 200, and 400, and reaction times of 20, 40, 80, 120, and 160 minutes were examined for the Fenton oxidation process.

**Results:** A leachate sample with average chemical oxygen demand (COD), BOD<sub>5</sub>, and NH<sub>4</sub> concentrations of 7350, 2220, and 2280 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and a BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD ratio of 0.3 was introduced to the chemical precipitation unit. An NH<sub>4</sub> removal efficiency of 87% was obtained at pH 8.5 for struvite precipitation. Under optimum conditions of Fenton oxidation, including pH 3, an H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sup>2+</sup> mass ratio of 200, and a reaction time of 160 min, more than 95% COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> removal was observed.

**Conclusion:** Struvite precipitation and Fenton oxidation are reliable and efficient alternatives for mature landfill treatment.

**Keywords:** Landfill, Leachate, Fenton oxidation, Chemical precipitation, Advanced oxidation

**Citation:** Jaafarzadeh Haghighi Fard NA, Jorfi S, Ahmadi M, Mirali S, Kujlu R. Treatment of mature landfill leachate by chemical precipitation and Fenton advanced oxidation process. *Environmental Health Engineering and Management Journal* 2016; 3(1): 35–40.

## Article History:

Received: 13 January 2016

Accepted: 28 February 2016

ePublished: 15 March 2016

## \*Correspondence to:

Sahand Jorfi

Email: sahand369@yahoo.com


## Introduction

Final disposal of solid wastes is the most common operation of solid waste management in countries worldwide (1). The physical, biological, and chemical reactions in landfill wastes as well as percolated water and the initial moisture of waste through the landfill contents result in the production of a highly concentrated dark liquid named landfill leachate (2). A wide variation of leachate constituents are observed depending on the type of solid waste and its characteristics, moisture content, site hydrology, waste porosity and compaction; engineering operations like daily and final cover designs and bottom linings; environmental effects on landfill site; landfill age and operation performances (3,4). Leachate can contaminate soil and water resources and affect human health both directly and indirectly by spreading hazardous constituents.

Traditional leachate treatment methods including surface ponds or chemical treatment processes are inefficient and expensive; they produce a considerable amount of excess sludge and can cause environmental pollution (5). The age of the landfill and the composition of the leachate strongly direct the treatment alternatives for leachate management. For instance, young leachates are characterized by high biochemical oxygen demand/chemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD) ratios which make the biological treatment processes feasible and reliable options (6,7). Studies have revealed that old leachate has a lower BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD ratio; thus, other treatment schemes such as advanced oxidation processes should be considered to overcome the low biodegradability and refractory toxics (1,8). Advanced oxidation processes (AOP) involve the generation of free reactive radicals, mainly the hydroxyl radical through the



chemical, electrochemical, or photochemical reactions between conventional oxidants such as ozone, hydrogen peroxide, and UV irradiation (5). Hydroxyl radicals react with the target pollutant, and that leads to the mineralization or partial oxidation of contaminants according to the dosage of free radicals and other operational parameters (9). Fenton oxidation has been widely considered for the treatment of refractory substances, like low biodegradable mature landfill leachate contents. In the Fenton reaction, ferrous ions react with  $H_2O_2$  under optimum pH to generate highly oxidative hydroxyl radicals in a liquid medium (10,11). According to general Equation 1, the conventional Fenton process is defined as the reaction of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $H_2O_2$  for the production of hydroxyl radicals (one of the strongest oxidants,  $E = 2.73$  V) (12), which is widely studied for the treatment of landfill leachate (9).



According to Equation 1 the reaction will be completed under acidic conditions, because the presence of  $H^+$  ions is necessary for the decomposition of  $H_2O_2$  (3). The major benefits of Fenton oxidation include low costs, ease of access, relative safety, effectiveness, and simple design and operation (13,14). Another specification of leachate is a high concentration of  $NH_4$ -N as a usual characteristic of landfill leachate which adversely affects the treatment process. It is a major toxicant to biological reactions in activated sludge processes; it is also a significant radical scavenger in AOP. Therefore, pretreatment prior to any treatment system can enhance treatment efficiency and lower operational technical problems and costs, especially in Fenton reactions (15). Studies have shown that struvite precipitation is one of the most effective alternatives for removing high ammonium concentrations from landfill leachates, which provides a rapid reaction rate and considerable removal efficiency (16). Struvite is a white crystalline compound chemically consisting of magnesium, ammonium, and phosphorus in equal molar concentrations ( $MgNH_4PO_4 \cdot 6H_2O$ ) (17). Struvite formation reaction is presented in Equation 2:



The removal of ammonium by means of struvite precipitation has been successfully applied in different industrial wastewaters and landfill leachate (18-20). Based on a literature review, there is no report on the application of integrated struvite precipitation and Fenton oxidation for leachate treatment. In the current study, pre-treatment by struvite precipitation and Fenton oxidation before biological treatment for mature landfill leachate was evaluated.

## Materials and Methods

### Materials

The  $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ ,  $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ , NaOH,  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $FeSO_4$ , and  $H_2O_2$  used in this study were of analytical grade and purchased from Merck, Germany.

### Characterization of landfill leachate

A municipal solid waste landfill was the source of leachate sampling. Twelve samples were collected monthly for

one year. The characteristics of COD,  $BOD_5$ ,  $NH_3$ -N, and pH were analyzed and the averages of the three repetitions are presented in Table 1. Samples were transferred to the laboratory in a cold box at  $4^\circ C$ .

### Chemical precipitation experiment

Chemical precipitation with struvite was considered for the removal of  $NH_4$ . A Plexiglas container, with a total volume of 20 L and equipped with a variable speed mixer was considered as the reactor for struvite precipitation. The experiments were carried out in batch mode.  $MgCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  and  $NaH_2PO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$  were used as magnesium and phosphate sources. The ratio of phosphate to ammonia to magnesium was adjusted stoichiometrically to 1/1/1.05 mol during all experiments (16) and pH values were adjusted to 7, 7.3, 7.6, 7.9, 8.2, and 8.5 using either NaOH or  $H_2SO_4$ . After the time required for completion of the reaction had passed, the contents of the reactor were allowed to settle for 30 minutes and then passed through a Millipore membrane filter with a pore size of  $0.45 \mu m$  for use in performing analytical tests. The supernatant was withdrawn and directed to the Fenton reaction reactor. For the following experiments performed with the Fenton process, the pH had to be adjusted.

### Fenton reaction

Conventional Fenton oxidation with  $Fe^{2+}$  was considered for the destruction of refractory organics. Operational parameters including pH values of 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7,  $H_2O_2$ /Fe mass ratios of 50, 100, 200, and 400, and reaction times of 20, 40, 80, 120, and 160 minutes were examined consecutively. Five initial pHs in a constant reaction time of 40 minutes and a  $H_2O_2$ /Fe mass ratio of 50 were investigated. In the second step, different  $H_2O_2$ /Fe mass ratios were examined to evaluate the effect of  $H_2O_2$ /Fe increase on the enhancement of process efficiency in a constant reaction time of 40 minutes and optimum pH. The  $H_2O_2$  concentration of 40 mM (35%, Merck, analytical grade) was constant and the iron was varied. Reaction times of 20, 40, 80, 120, and 160 minutes were examined in the predetermined optimum pH and  $H_2O_2$ /Fe. All mineralization reactions for each defined condition were performed in 500 ml flasks in batch mode. The flasks were sealed with aluminum crimp caps and shaken on a shaker at 150 rpm. All experiments were conducted at room temperature ( $23 \pm 4^\circ C$ ). A summary of experimental conditions and related identification code are presented in Table 2. All ana-

**Table 1.** Results of chemical precipitation with struvite for ammonia removal

[NH3][Mg][PO4] (ratio of moles)	pH	COD ( $mg L^{-1}$ )	BOD5 ( $mg L^{-1}$ )	NH3-N ( $mg L^{-1}$ )
Raw leachate	6.9	7350	2220	2280
1/1/1.05	7.2	6805	1905	471
1/1/1.05	7.5	6620	1830	430
1/1/1.05	7.8	6545	1747	358
1/1/1.05	8.1	6380	1668	330
1/1/1.05	8.5	6215	1602	286

lytical data are based on the averages of the experiments run in triplicate. The desired pH values were adjusted using either sulfuric acid (Merck, analytical grade) or sodium hydroxide (Merck, analytical grade). Before analysis, 0.5 ml of a sodium thiosulfate solution was added to each sample to quench the residual H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the flask.

**Analytical methods**

All laboratory analyses of COD, BOD<sub>5</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>-N, and alkalinity were conducted according to the *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater* (21). The cadmium concentration was determined using atomic absorption spectroscopy (Model AAS vario6) (21). Samples were collected from the supernatant and then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 20 minutes. Those used for NH<sub>3</sub>-N and soluble COD investigations were filtered on glass-fibre filters (GF50). A pH meter (Hack, Germany) was used to measure pH.

**Results**

**Mature leachate characteristics**

The characteristics of mature leachate after nearly one year sampling and analysis are presented in Table 3. Results indicated that annual average COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> concentrations were 7350 and 2220 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

**Struvite precipitation**

The results of chemical precipitation with struvite on mature landfill leachate with a stoichiometric ammonium/magnesium/phosphate ratio of 1/1/1.05 in varying pHs ranging from 6.9 to 8.5 are presented in Table 1. The residual NH<sub>3</sub>-N concentrations after chemical reaction for pH values of 7.2, 7.5, 7.8, 8.1, and 8.5 were 471, 430, 358, 330, and 286 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The highest removal efficiency of 87% was achieved with pH 8.5, and this was thus selected as the optimum pH level. At the end of the chemical reaction in pH 8.5, COD and BOD concentrations had been reduced to 6380 and 1668 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, providing 13.2% and 24% removal, respectively (Figure 1).

**Table 2.** Different experimental conditions

Parameter	Variation range
pH	3–4–5–6–7
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /Fe	50–100–200–400
Reaction time	20–40–80–100

**Table 3.** Average characteristics of landfill leachate

Parameter	Mean value
COD (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	7350 ±410
BOD (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	2220 ±260
BOD <sub>5</sub> /COD	0.3
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	2280 ±340
Alkalinity (mg L <sup>-1</sup> CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	4350 ±325
pH	6.9


**Fenton advanced oxidation process**

**Optimum pH**


The results of pH optimisation are presented in Figures 2A and 2B. The effluent COD and BOD<sub>5</sub> concentrations of the struvite precipitation unit entering the Fenton reactor were 6215 and 1602 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Since the best results of struvite precipitation occurred in pH 8.5, the desired pH was first adjusted to a defined value with sulphuric acid. The least remaining amounts of BOD and COD (1433 and 4496 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were observed at pH 3; therefore, pH 3 was selected as the optimum value.

**Optimum H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sup>2+</sup>**

Four different mass ratios of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe were examined at a constant COD concentration, optimum pH, and a reac-



**Figure 1.** Ammonium removal in different pHs and constant chemical dosage.



**Figure 2.** (A) Determination of optimum pH in constant reaction time of 40 minutes, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>/Fe ratio of 50, and feed characteristics; (B) BOD<sub>5</sub>/COD ratio variations

tion time of 40 minutes ( $H_2O_2$  concentration of 400 mM). The results of this step are shown in Figure 3. As seen in Figure 3A, removal of COD and  $BOD_5$  did not improve at  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  ratios higher than 200. The  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio changed from 0.31 to 0.3  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  m at a mass ratio of 200. Based on data obtained in this step, the  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio of 200 was selected as the optimum value.

**Optimum reaction time**

The results of time variations are shown in Figures 4A and 4B. As was anticipated and can be seen in Figure 4A, the reaction time increase favoured removal efficiency. Residual  $BOD_5$  and COD values after 160 minutes were 80 and 293  $mg L^{-1}$ , respectively, and the  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio reached 0.27 at this time. It seems that all biodegradable constituents had been removed at this time and no further removal would occur. The COD removal values in reaction times of 20, 40, 80, 120, and 160 minutes were 3.8%, 56.6%, 86.5%, 88.7%, and 95.2%, respectively.

**Discussion**

**Leachate resistance toward biological reactions**

The  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio of less than 0.5 (exactly = 0.3) makes the direct application of biological treatment schemes difficult. On the other hand, the presence of refractory organics and toxics such as heavy metals necessitate the application of pre-treatments such as chemical precipitation and AOP. The low  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio has been reported by other researchers. Cortez et al (10) reported low  $BOD_5/COD$  ratios ranging from 0.01 to 0.15. Also, Li et al (22) reported the  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio of 0.05. The average ammo-


nium concentration was relatively high and equal to 2280  $mg L^{-1}$ . Scientific reports have identified  $NH_3-N$  as a major toxicant to biological processes and a radical scavenger for AOP. Therefore, the sufficient removal of  $NH_3-N$  before the following treatment is required (15,16).

**Effect of pH on struvite precipitation**


As seen in Figure 1, the pH increase enhanced the removal of ammonia linearly with an  $R^2$  coefficient of 97.2. These results are in agreement with those of Jaafarzadeh et al (16) who reported an 89%  $NH_3-N$  removal with a pH increase from 7.62 to 7.84 (concentration decreased from 2162 to 229  $mg L^{-1}$ ). Similar findings have been reported in literature (18,23).

**Effect of pH on Fenton oxidation**

Many reports have specified pH as the chief factor affecting the performance of the Fenton process for contaminant degradation because of the necessity of keeping ferrous ions in soluble form to continuously react with  $H_2O_2$  (24-26). A pH below optimum value can inhibit the reaction, because at extremely low pH values, the formed  $[Fe(H_2O)]^{2+}$  reacts relatively slowly with  $H_2O_2$  and less hydroxyl radical production occurs. Moreover, the scavenging effect of  $H^+$  on hydroxyl radical can interfere with the reaction between  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $H_2O_2$ . The  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio which accounts for the biodegradability potential of wastewater was 0.31 at pH 3 and decreased to 0.26 at a pH value of 7. This verifies the higher degradation rate of lower pHs, which leads to more COD conversion and the production of many intermediates that might be bio-



**Figure 3.** (A) Determination of optimum  $H_2O_2/Fe$  in optimum pH 3 and constant reaction time of 40 minutes and feed characteristics; (B)  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio variations.



**Figure 4.** (A) Determination of optimum reaction time in optimum pH 3,  $H_2O_2/Fe$  ratio of 200, and constant feed characteristics; (B)  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio variations



degradable and need a longer reaction time to complete mineralisation. For the full treatment of leachate by Fenton oxidation, pH 3 can be introduced as the best value. If the Fenton is considered as a pre-treatment before biological processes, however, higher values of 6 or 7 can be considered because of the bacterial functions in neutral pH ranges. This finding was in agreement with those of similar studies (27,28).

#### Effect of $H_2O_2$ and $Fe^{2+}$ dosages

Excess amounts of  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $H_2O_2$  reagents may adversely affect the process, leading to high TDS, high electrical conductivity (EC) of the effluent and an excessive chemical sludge production (29). Moreover, if a biological treatment has been considered following the AOP, residual  $H_2O_2$  can destroy bacterial metabolism, raise operational costs, and produce gas bubbles which prevent sedimentation of the sludge flocs (24). Similar studies have concluded that the degradation rate of organics increases linearly with  $H_2O_2$  concentration until the threshold value is reached, at which point the addition of  $H_2O_2$  does not improve the degradation rate flocs (24). The least  $BOD_5$  and COD concentrations of 762 and 2637  $mg\ L^{-1}$  were observed with an  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio of 200, which is in agreement with the overall trend obtained by Lopez et al (13). The initial COD concentration was reduced from 10 540 to 4180  $mg\ L^{-1}$  at an  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio of 12, and higher ratios did not improve the removal (13). Therefore, it was concluded that the addition of Fe to a higher than threshold value does not significantly enhance process efficiency.

No significant  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio variations were observed in this step; the ratio was decreased from 0.32 at an  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio of 50 to 0.3 at an  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio of 400 (Figure 3B). This is probably attributable to the limited reaction time and equal transformation rate for  $BOD_5$  and COD components, the presence of refractory compounds, and the reaction intermediates. The highest  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio of 0.41 was observed in 80, which was the highest value in all experiments. It can be explained by the partial oxidation of refractory organics and the conversion of some slowly degradable organics to readily biodegradable compounds. AOPs are characterised by rapid partial degradation and time intensive mineralisation (12). Considering a supplement biologic treatment, a contact time of 80 minutes can be determined as the optimum value. If Fenton oxidation is the unique treatment scheme, a higher reaction time would yield a higher removal amount; therefore, the contact time of 160 minutes with more than 95% COD removal is the optimum value.

#### Conclusion

According to the experimental results, it can be concluded that struvite precipitation followed by Fenton oxidation is a reliable, feasible, and efficient alternate pre-treatment and also post-treatment of mature landfill leachate. The experimental data obtained in this study are summarized below.

- Struvite precipitation led to 87%  $NH_4$  removal at pH 8.5 (concentration of 2280 was reduced to 286  $mg\ L^{-1}$ ).
- The optimum operational conditions were pH = 3,  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio = 200, and reaction time = 80 minutes for Fenton oxidation as a pre-treatment for biological processes and a reaction time = 160 minutes when used as a post-treatment.
- The residual  $BOD_5$  and COD concentrations at optimum conditions were 80 and 293  $mg\ L^{-1}$ , respectively, providing 95% removal.
- The  $BOD_5/COD$  ratio of 0.41 could be achieved with a pH of 3, an  $H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}$  mass ratio of 200, and a reaction time of 80 minutes.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences for their support of this study.

#### Ethical issues

There were no ethical issues in any phase of the experiments or in the writing of this article.

#### Authors' contributions

This statement certifies that all authors were involved in all stages of preparing this article. It is warranted that this article has not been previously published and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere. On behalf of all co-authors, the corresponding author shall bear full responsibility for the submission.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### References

1. Bashir MJ, Abdul Aziz H, Abu Amr SS, Sethupathi SA, Ng CA, Lim JW. The competency of various applied strategies in treating tropical municipal landfill leachate. *Desalination and Water Treatment* 2015; 54(9): 2382-95.
2. Guo JS, Abbas AA, Chen YP, Liu ZP, Fang F, Chen P. Treatment of landfill leachate using a combined stripping, Fenton, SBR, and coagulation process. *J Hazard Mater* 2010; 178(1-3): 699-705.
3. Umar M, Aziz HA, Yusoff MS. Trends in the use of Fenton, electro-Fenton and photo-Fenton for the treatment of landfill leachate. *Waste Manag* 2010; 30(11): 2113-21.
4. Sun J1, Li X, Feng J, Tian X. Ozone/ $Co^{2+}$  oxidation as an advanced oxidation process: comparison with traditional Fenton oxidation for treatment of landfill leachate. *Water Res* 2009; 43(17): 4363-9.
5. Renou S, Givaudan JG, Poulain S, Dirassouyanb F, Moulinec P. Landfill leachate treatment: review and opportunity. *J Hazard Mater* 2008; 150(3): 468-93.
6. Amaral MC, Moravia WG, Lange LC, Roberto MM, Magalhães NC, dos Santos TL. Nanofiltration as post-treatment of MBR treating landfill leachate. *Desalination and Water Treatment* 2015; 53(6): 1482-91.
7. Sormunena K, Ettalab M, Jukka R. Internal leachate quality in a municipal solid waste landfill: vertical, horizontal and temporal variation and impacts of leachate recirculation. *J*

- Hazard Mater 2008; 160(2-3): 601-7.
8. Wu Y, Zhou S, Qin F, Ye X, Zheng K. Modeling physical and oxidative removal properties of Fenton process for treatment of landfill leachate using response surface methodology (RSM). *J Hazard Mater* 2010; 180(1-3): 456-65.
  9. Deng Y, Englehardt JD. Treatment of landfill leachate by the Fenton process. *Water Res* 2006; 40(20): 3683-94.
  10. Cortez S, Teixeira P, Oliveira R, Mota M. Evaluation of Fenton and ozone-based advanced oxidation processes as mature landfill leachate pre-treatments. *J Environ Manage* 2011; 92(3): 749-55.
  11. Veignie E, Rafin C, Landy D, Fourmentin S, Surpateanu G. Fenton degradation assisted by cyclodextrins of a high molecular weight polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon benzo[a]pyrene. *J Hazard Mater* 2009; 168(2-3): 1296-301.
  12. Lu M1, Zhang Z, Qiao W, Wei X, Guan Y, Ma Q, et al. Remediation of petroleum-contaminated soil after composting by sequential treatment with Fenton-like oxidation and biodegradation. *Bioresour Technol* 2010; 101(7): 2106-13.
  13. Lopez A, Pagano M, Volpe A, Di Pinto AC. Fenton's pretreatment of mature landfill leachate. *Chemosphere* 2004; 54(7): 1005-10.
  14. Pignatello JJ, Oliveros E, MacKay A. Advanced oxidation processes for organic contaminant destruction based on the Fenton reaction and related chemistry. *Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol* 2006; 36(1): 1-84.
  15. Kim D, Ryu HD, Kim MS, Kim J, Lee SI. Enhancing struvite precipitation potential for ammonia nitrogen removal in municipal landfill leachate. *J Hazard Mater* 2007; 146(1-2): 81-5.
  16. Jaafarzadeh N, Jorfi S, Kalantary RR, Hashempour Y, Soltani RD. Evaluation of biological landfill leachate treatment incorporating struvite precipitation and powdered activated carbon addition. *Waste Manag Res* 2010; 28(8): 759-66.
  17. Gunay A, Karadag D, Tosun I, Ozturk M. Use of magnesit as a magnesium source for ammonium removal from leachate. *J Hazard Mater* 2008; 156(1-3): 619-23.
  18. Kabdaşlı I, Safak A, Tünay O. Bench-scale evaluation of treatment schemes incorporating struvite precipitation for young landfill leachate. *Waste Manag* 2008; 28(11): 2386-92.
  19. Doyle JD, Parsons SA. Struvite formation, control and recovery. *Water Res* 2002; 36(16): 3925-40.
  20. Quintana M, Colmenarejo MF, Barrera J, Sánchez E, García G, Traviesoc L, et al. Removal of phosphorus through struvite precipitation using a by-product of magnesium oxide production (BMP): effect of the mode of BMP preparation. *Chem Eng J* 2008; 136(2-3): 204-9.
  21. APHA, AWWA, WEF. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. 21st ed. Washington, DC; 2005.
  22. Li H, Zhou S, Sun Y, Lv J. Nitrogen and carbon removal from Fenton-treated leachate by denitrification and biofiltration. *Bioresour Technol* 2010; 101(20): 7736-43.
  23. Zengin G, Olmez T, Doğruel S, Kabdaşlı I, Tünay O. Assessment of source-based nitrogen removal alternatives in leather tanning industry wastewater. *Water Sci Technol* 2002; 45(12): 205-15.
  24. Hermosilla D, Cortijo M, Huang CP. Optimizing the treatment of landfill leachate by conventional Fenton and photo-Fenton processes. *Sci Total Environ* 2009; 407(11): 3473-81.
  25. Kuusik A, Pachel K, Kuusik A, Loigu E, Tang WZ. Reverse osmosis and nanofiltration of biologically treated leachate. *Environ Technol* 2014; 35(17-20): 2416-26.
  26. Chu W, Chan KH, Kwan CY, Choi KY. Degradation of atrazine by modified stepwise-Fenton's processes. *Chemosphere* 2007; 67(4): 755-61.
  27. Cotman M, Gotvajn AZ. Comparison of different physico-chemical methods for the removal of toxicants from landfill leachate. *J Hazard Mater* 2010; 178(1-3): 298-305.
  28. Ratanatamskul C, Auesuntrachun P. Removal of COD and colour from old landfill leachate by advanced oxidation processes. *Int J Environ Waste Manag* 2009; 4(3-4): 470-80.
  29. Grieger KD, Fjordbøge A, Hartmann NB, Eriksson E, Bjerg PL, Baun A. Environmental benefits and risks of zero-valent iron nanoparticles (nZVI) for in situ remediation: Risk mitigation or trade-off. *J Contam Hydrol* 2010; 118(3-4): 165-83.